



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,833	02/18/2004	Steven F. Knittel	KNITTEL, ET AL. (LCNT/126)	3728
46363	7590	03/21/2008	EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			BELANI, KISHIN G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) for 10/780833

Response to Arguments

Consider **claim 1**. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicants' argument that the cited reference of Pepper et al. (U.S. Patent Publication # 7,206,777 B2) does not teach or suggest "obtaining said resource and said embedded data using a resource index file having information regarding said resource and said embedded data". Fig. 1 in the Pepper et al. reference shows an XML Indexer 150 and an Index file 155 that contains the location information for the embedded resources 165, 170 and 175 of a web page 132. The XML indexer obtains the information about the web page resource and the data embedded in it by searching the index file, and based on the search results, gets the web page resource and any embedded data for archiving to storage 115. Furthermore, the Index 155 includes the location information about the archived resource and all its embedded data, when the resource with its embedded data is archived, thereby disclosing the claimed element "obtaining said resource and said embedded data using a resource index file having information regarding said resource and said embedded data". The examiner's cited columns and lines in the final office action (column 2, lines 34-46; Fig. 2; column 6, Example 2, lines 31-67 and column 7, lines 1-7) disclose the same details.

Art Unit: 2143

Other **independent claims 12, 16 and 20** do not present any new argument.

Therefore **independent claims 1, 12, 16 and 20** and their dependent claims **2-4, 6-**

11, 13-15, 17-19 and 21-26 are not considered allowable by the examiner.